OSES IEP Development Form

OSES	Review	ver*		

Student Information

Student Name*
1
Excent (Local) ID #*
State ID #*
School*
DOB*
DOB

Save and Resume Later

Next

IEP Review

Date of IEP Reviewed*
Type of IEP Reviewed* ▼
Additional Documents Reviewed MDR IEP Amendment Referral/Evaluation Planning Reevaluation Review Eligibility Determination PWN Meeting Notice Transfer of Rights BIP FBA Evaluation Consent Part C to B Transition Meeting Summary/Notes Evaluation Report Other:
1. Did the LEA take the required steps to ensure that the parents could meaningfully participate in the IEP process, and did the LEA obtain parent consent when necessary?* Yes No
Consent for evaluation/reevaluation Notification of evaluation procedures Input from parents for reevaluation review Meeting notice Two varied attempts to reach parents Provision of evaluation reports/other necessary information to parents in advance of meeting Arranging for an interpreter Offer of alternative means for participation Provision of notice of procedural safeguards Consent for initial provision of services Parent notification/agreement for any IEP changes made outside of team meetings Other:
Comments

2. Did the LEA ensure that the necessary parties were invited to and/or participated in the IEP process?*
Yes
° No
If no, what was not completed appropriately? Participation of LEA representative Participation of general education teacher Participation of
special education teacher Participation of individual to interpret results Invitation sent to Part C
representative Consent to invite outside agency obtained Invitation sent to outside agency
Other:
Comments
3. Did the LEA adhere to required timelines and procedures, including those for initial evaluations
and reevaluations?*
Yes
[©] No
If no, what timeline was missed or what procedure was not followed?
☐ Initial eligibility timeline ☐ Part C to B IEP implementation by third birthday ☐ Annual IEP timeline
Reevaluation review timeline Variety of measures used in evaluation Eligibility criteria
guidelines Procedures for identifying specific learning disability Reevaluation review (with parent
input) Explanation of determination that no additional data needed (reevaluation) Notice to
parents of right to request additional information (reevaluation)
Other:

Comments	
1	<u>▲</u>
4. Did the IEP team appropriately consider all relevant special factors?*	
Yes	
No	
If no, what special factors were not appropriately considered?	
Benavior that impedes the child's learning or that of others Braille (blind of	
	English Proficiency
Other:	
If an FBA was conducted in the last year, what was the date of consent for the	he FBA?
If an FBA was conducted in the last year, on what date was the FBA completed by the second se	ted?
Comments	
	-
4	D
5. Did the IEP team develop an appropriate statement of present levels of ac	ademic achievement
and functional performance?*	
Yes	
° No	

If no, what was not included or completed appropriately?
Statement of academic, developmental, and functional strengths Statement of academic,
developmental, and functional needs For K-12 student, statement of how disability affects student's
progress in the general education curriculum For preschool student, statement of how the disability
affects the child's participation in appropriate activities Consideration of current data and information
Sufficient findings (baseline data) to formulate measurable annual goals
Other:
Comments
_
T P
6. Did the IEP team develop appropriate, measurable annual goals and designate how and when
the goals would be measured and progress reported to parents?*
Yes
No No
If no, what are the deficiencies with respect to the goals?
Cannot be measured as written (too vague, too many parts, etc.) Do not address identified needs
in PLAAFP Not based on current data (same goal(s) as last year) Do not adequately identify
means and materials used for measurement/inappropriate measurement devices Cannot ascertain
starting and/or ending points Not skills based Do not designate appropriate time intervals for
progress reporting Student taking alternative assessment, but no objectives
Other:

Comments	
7. Did the IEP team appropriately develop: measurable post-secondary goals and a state	ement of
transition services, including course of study?*	
Yes	
° No	
6	
Not Applicable	
If no, what was not completed appropriately?	
Post-secondary education/training goal Post-secondary employment goal Post-sec	
Post-secondary education/training goal Post-secondary employment goal Post-sec	
independent living goal (if applicable) Identification of transition services Course of students	dy
Other:	
Comments	
<u> </u>	
8. Did the IEP team appropriately identify in the IEP: special education and related services and related services.	
supplementary services; testing participation; and classroom and testing accommodation modifications?*	ons and
6	
Yes	
No No	

If no, what was not documented appropriately?	
Identification of special education services	Time and frequency of special education services
Identification of related services Time and from	
supplementary services Time and frequency	of supplementary services Classroom
accommodations Program modifications Testing accommodations and/or modifications	Participation in State-wide and District-wide testing
Other:	
Comments	
4	→
	extent to which the student will not participate in I the IEP team's decisions with respect to least
general education classes and activities, and restrictive environment (LRE)?* Yes	
general education classes and activities, and restrictive environment (LRE)?*	I the IEP team's decisions with respect to least
general education classes and activities, and restrictive environment (LRE)?* Yes No If no, what was not completed appropriately? LRE time and/or percentage calculations Documentation of LRE considerations	I the IEP team's decisions with respect to least

10. Did the IEP team appropriately consider the need for extended school year services?
Yes
° No
If no, what were the deficiencies with respect to consideration of ESY?
ESY not addressed by the IEP team ESY decision not based on individual student considerations
ESY decision not based on current information/data Insufficient description of ESY program
Other:
Comments
11. Did the LEA provide appropriate notice (PWN) to the parents in a reasonable time before changing, or refusing to change, the student's eligibility, evaluation, program, or placement?*
Yes
° No
If no, what were the deficiencies with respect to the PWN?
No PWN provided PWN prepared before IEP team meeting PWN provided after change
PWN not in understandable language Insufficient description of action Insufficient explanation of
proposal or refusal Insufficient description of other options considered Insufficient description of
information used Insufficient description of other relevant factors Inappropriate outside assistance contact list
Other:

Comments	
	*
12. If there was disciplinary action that involved a potential change in place did the LEA follow the applicable procedures?*	ment for the student,
Yes	
° No	
Not Applicable	
If no, what was not completed appropriately? Parents notified of proposed disciplinary action Parents given notice of p Consideration of pattern of removals MDR held within ten school days (necessary participants) MDR determination (appropriate criteria) Post MDR IEP FBA/BIP development or revision	MDR meeting
Other:	
Comments	
	T

orrective Actions		
	4	
		Ī
	平	1
<u> </u>		

	Save and Resume Later	
Previous		Submit Form